Case 3:10-cv-01821-CRB Document 10 Filed 06/01/10 Page 1 of 2

Case3:10-cv-01821-VRW Document9 Filed05/24/10 Page1 of 2

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	NORTHERN DIS	TES DISTRICT COURT STRICT OF CALIFORNIA NCISCO DIVISION
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	AUTODESK, INC., a Delaware corporation, Plaintiff, v. HAMILTON/ITS, INC., a Georgia corporation, Defendant.	CASE NO. C10-01821-VRW STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME FOR DEFENDANT HAMILTON/ITS, INC. TO ANSWER OR OTHERWISE RESPOND TO COMPLAINT; [PROPOSED] ORDER [CIVIL L.R. 6-1(a)] NO HEARING REQUIRED

STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

CASE NO. C10-01821-VRW

Case 3:10-cv-01821-CRB Document 10 Filed 06/01/10 Page 2 of 2

Case3:10-cv-01821-VRW Document9 Filed05/24/10 Page2 of 2

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 6-1(a), plaintiff Autodesk, Inc., by and through counsel, and 2 defendant Hamilton/ITS, Inc., hereby stipulate that defendant Hamilton/ITS, Inc., shall have up to and including Monday, June 14, 2010, to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint on file herein. The purpose of the extension is to provide the parties with an opportunity to discuss 4 settlement without incurring unnecessary legal fees and expenses. Such an extension will not have any impact on the Case Management Conference or any other scheduled dates set by the 6 Court. 8 IT IS SO STIPULATED. Dated: May 24, 2010 DONAHUE GALLAGHER WOODS LLP 10 Attorneys for Plaintiff AUTOĎESK, INC. Dated: May 21, 2010 HAMILTON/ITS, INC. 14 16 18 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS 19 20 Hon. Vaughn R. Walker United States District Court Judge 21

22

1

3

5

7

9

11

12

13

15

17

23

24 25

26

27 28